

**Ontario Species at Risk Evaluation Report for  
Red Knot (rufa Subspecies)  
Bécasseau maubéche de la sous-espèce rufa  
(*Calidris canutus rufa*)**

Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population

Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario  
(COSSARO)

(Tierra del Feugo / Patagonia wintering population)  
Assessed by COSSARO as Endangered

April 2021

## Bécasseau maubèche – Population hivernante de la Terre de Feu/Patagonie (*Calidris canutus rufa*)

Le bécasseau maubèche de la sous-espèce *rufa*, population hivernante de la Terre de Feu/Patagonie est classé dans la catégorie des espèces en voie de disparition par le CDSEPO, en raison d'un déclin de 73 % de la population qui traverse l'Ontario pendant sa migration, d'après les dénombrements des effectifs de la population hivernale et les déclinés observés de l'habitat, dont on prévoit la poursuite à l'avenir. Ce statut est conforme au statut attribué actuellement à cette sous-espèce par le COSEPAC.

Cette publication hautement spécialisée n'est disponible qu'en anglais conformément au Règlement 671/92, selon lequel il n'est pas obligatoire de la traduire en vertu de la Loi sur les services en français. Pour obtenir des renseignements en français, veuillez communiquer avec le ministère l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs au [cossarosecretariat@ontario.ca](mailto:cossarosecretariat@ontario.ca).

## Executive summary

The Red Knot has six recognized subspecies, of which one occurs in Ontario: *Calidris canutus rufa*. The Ontario population consists of birds from three separate designatable units, primarily distinguished by their differing overwintering grounds, along with variations in their morphology and genetics. Red Knots are present in Ontario solely as migrants, travelling between their arctic breeding grounds and overwintering grounds in the southern USA or South America. As migrants, they are widely recorded in Ontario, while James Bay is considered a significant stopover location, used by as much as 25% of the subspecies.

Red Knot *rufa* subspecies, Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population, is classified as Endangered by COSSARO due to a 73% decline in the population migrating through Ontario, based on winter population surveys and observed declines in habitat, which are projected to continue in future. This status is consistent with the current COSEWIC status.

# 1. Eligibility for Ontario status assessment

## 1.1. Eligibility conditions

### 1.1.1. Taxonomic distinctness

The Red Knot has six recognized subspecies of which one, *C. c. rufa*, occurs in Ontario (COSEWIC, *In Press*, 2020).

### 1.1.2. Designatable units

The *rufa* subspecies of Red Knot has three distinct and widely separated wintering populations, considered to be separate designatable units by COSEWIC (*In Press*, 2020). These designatable units are: the Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population, the Northeastern South American wintering population and the Southeastern USA / Gulf / Caribbean wintering population.

### 1.1.3. Native status

Red Knot *rufa* subspecies is a native species in Ontario.

### 1.1.4. Occurrence

Red Knot *rufa* subspecies Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population occurs in Ontario as a seasonal migrant, moving between its breeding grounds in the Arctic, and wintering grounds in South America (COSEWIC, *In Press*, 2020).

## 1.2. Eligibility results

Red Knot *rufa* subspecies (*Calidris canutus rufa*), Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population, is eligible for status assessment in Ontario.

## 2. Background information

### 2.1. Current designations

- GRANK: G4T2 (NatureServe 2021)
- IUCN: Near Threatened (August 2018)
- NRANK Canada: N1B,N3N4N,N3M
- COSEWIC: Endangered (February 2020)
- SARA: Endangered (Schedule 1)
- ESA 2007: Endangered\* (2009)
- SRANK: S1M (ranked in 2021)

\*The Endangered status of Red Knot rufa subspecies under the Ontario Endangered Species Act predates recent taxonomic changes and the resulting split to three designatable units.

### 2.2. Distribution in Ontario

The Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population of Red Knot rufa subspecies is present in Ontario only as a migratory species. Accordingly, more precise distribution data on the population in Ontario is very limited and of relatively limited value. Accordingly, EOO and AIO were not calculated. NHIC observations of Red Knot rufa subspecies in Ontario are shown in Figure 1.

Ongoing research suggests that approximately 25% of rufa subspecies stopover in Ontario in southern James Bay (M. Burrell pers. comm. 2021). Stopover habitat plays an important role in the species life cycle. Red Knot migration concentrations contributed significantly to the designation of several Important Bird Areas in Ontario such as Pei lay sheesh kow IBA.



smaller than the unit itself. Globally, the Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia overwintering population of the Red Knot rufa subspecies has seen large declines. Most North American jurisdictions with a ranking reported in Nature Serve (as of March 2021) list this species as a variation of S1, Critically Imperiled, with a minority listing it as S2, Imperiled.

Table 1. Condition of the Species in Adjacent Jurisdictions and Broader Biologically Relevant Geographic Range

<b>Adjacent Jurisdictions</b>	<b>Biologically Relevant to Ontario (n/a, yes, no)</b>	<b>Condition</b>	<b>Notes &amp; Sources</b>
Quebec	Yes	SM1	(Natureserve 2021)
Manitoba	Yes	S1S2M	(Natureserve 2021)
Michigan	Yes	SNRN	(Natureserve 2021)
Minnesota	Yes	SNRN	(Natureserve 2021)
Nunavut	Yes	SNRB	(Natureserve 2021)
New York	Yes	SNRN	(Natureserve 2021)
Ohio	Yes	S1	(Natureserve 2021)
Pennsylvania	Yes	S2M	(Natureserve 2021)
Wisconsin	Yes	S1N	(Natureserve 2021)
<i>Other Relevant Jurisdiction</i>			

## 2.4. Ontario conservation responsibility

The Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population of Red Knot rufa subspecies only occurs in Ontario as a migratory species and does not account for any breeding or overwintering habitat. Specific population data for Ontario are not available, but the province represents a significant migratory corridor for this population. Ongoing research suggest that approximately 25% of rufa subspecies stopover in Ontario in southern James Bay (M. Burrell pers. comm. 2021).

## 2.5. Direct threats

A threat calculator completed by COSEWIC (*In Press*. 2020) for this designatable unit suggested an overall threat impact of High–Very High. This unit had the highest overall threat impact of all designatable units. Major threats are: issues with Horseshoe Crab abundance in Delaware Bay, increased predation and disturbance resulting from increases in falcon populations throughout its range, disturbance from recreational activities and possible impacts of climate change such as shifting weather patterns and rising sea levels (COSEWIC, *In Press*. 2020).

## 2.6. Specialized life history or habitat use characteristics

Red Knots are long-distance migrants, reproducing in the north before travelling thousands of kilometers south to overwinter. Birds experience extensive physiological change during migration to increase flight efficiency and allow them to rapidly store resources.

Birds in the Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population of Red Knot *rufa* subspecies migrate much further south than other populations (USFWS 2014). They are smaller than other Red Knot designatable units (Baker *et al.* 2013) and undergo greater morphological changes for migration (Baker *et al.* 2004; Atkinson *et al.* 2005, 2007).

## 3. Ontario status assessment

### 3.1. Application of endangered/threatened status in Ontario

#### 3.1.1. Criterion A – Decline in total number of mature individuals

**Endangered A2bc+4bc.** The global population, a proportion of which migrates through Ontario) has declined by 73% over the past three generations. This figure is based on observations from winter population surveys and observed declines in habitat. Both of these factors are projected to continue in the future, inferred from the high-very high overall threat impact.

#### 3.1.2. Criterion B – Small distribution range and decline or fluctuation

Does not apply. Insufficient data are available to calculate EOO and AOO for this species in Ontario, and they are complicated by the species being present solely as a migrant.

#### 3.1.3. Criterion C – Small and declining number of mature individuals

**Threatened C2a(ii).** The global population of the Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia Red Knot rufa wintering population, a proportion of which migrates through Ontario, is estimated to be 7,500 mature individuals, all in the same subpopulation, which are observed to have declined approximately 73% over the past three generations (1999–2020). This decline is estimated to continue based upon the high-very high overall threat impact and ongoing habitat loss.

#### 3.1.4. Criterion D – Very small or restricted total population

Does not apply. Insufficient data are available to estimate the size of Ontario's population, but it likely exceeds 1000 individuals.

#### 3.1.5. Criterion E – Quantitative analysis

Does not apply. No analysis conducted.

### 3.2. Application of Special Concern in Ontario

Does not apply.

### 3.3. Status category modifiers

#### 3.3.1. Ontario's conservation responsibility

Although the Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population of Red Knot rufa subspecies has a global ranking of T2, Imperiled, with no breeding or overwintering populations Ontario's conservation is lower than 25% and no modifier is applied.

### 3.3.2. Status modification based on rescue effect or level of risk in broader biologically relevant geographic range

No rescue effect modifier applies. No potential for rescue effect applies, because other Red Knot populations (designatable units) are considered distinct.

No broader biologically relevant geographic range modifier applies. Red Knot have an extensive global range and are present in Ontario only as migrants. On this basis, precise broader biologically relevant geographic range is challenging to determine. However, regardless of how it is defined, the global population is facing widespread decline, and this condition would not permit use of the modifier.

## 3.4. Other status categories

### 3.4.1. Data deficient

Does not apply.

### 3.4.2. Extinct or extirpated

Does not apply.

### 3.4.3. Not at risk

Does not apply.

## 4. Summary of Ontario status

Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) rufa subspecies, Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population, is classified as Endangered in Ontario based on meeting criterion A2bc+4bc.

*This status of this species is consistent with the definition of Endangered under the Endangered Species Act, 2007.*

## 5. Information sources

Atkinson, P.W., A.J. Baker, R.M. Bevan, N.A. Clark, K.B. Cole, P.M. González, J. Newton, L.J. Niles, and R.A. Robinson. 2005. Unravelling the migration and moult strategies of a long-distance migrant using stable isotopes: Red Knot *Calidris canutus* movements in the Americas. *Ibis* 147:738-749.

Atkinson, P.W., A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, N.A. Clark, J.A. Clark, K.B. Cole, A. Dekinga, A. Dey, S. Gillings, P.M. González, K. Kalasz, C.D.T. Minton, L.J. Niles, R.A. Robinson, and H.P. Sitters. 2007. Rates of mass gain and energy deposition in red knot on their final spring staging site is both time- and condition-dependent. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44:885-895.

Baker, A.J., P.M. González, T. Piersma, L.J. Niles, I.d.L.S. Nascimento, P.W. Atkinson, N.A. Clark, C.D.T. Minton, M. Peck, and G. Aarts. 2004. Rapid population decline in red knots: fitness consequences of decreased refuelling rates and late arrival in Delaware Bay. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 271:875-882.

Baker, A.J., P. González, R.I.G. Morrison, and B.A. Harrington. 2013. Red Knot (*Calidris canutus*), version 2.0. The Birds of North America. Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York.

Baker, A.J., E. Tavares, K. Choffe, and O. Haddrath. 2012a. Genetic differentiation among the three major wintering populations of the Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*). Unpublished report. Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Ontario.

Baker, A., E. Tavares, P. González, O. Haddrath, K. Choffe, and L. Niles. 2012b. Genetic differentiation among the three major wintering populations of the Red Knot *Calidris canutus rufa*. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 119:214.

COSEWIC. 2020. IN PRESS. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Red Knot *Calidris canutus*, islandica subspecies (*Calidris canutus islandica*), roselaari type (*Calidris canutus roselaari*) and rufa subspecies (*Calidris canutus rufa*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xxxv + 173 pp. (<https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry.html>).

eBird. 2019. eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance. Red Knot. Website: <https://ebird.org/species/redkno>. [accessed May 2019].

Gaston, A.J. 2019. Birds of Mansel Island, Hudson Bay. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 133:20-24.

Godfrey, W.E. 1986. The Birds of Canada. Revised Edition. National Museum of Natural Sciences, Ottawa.

Morrison, R. I. G., C. Espoz, and R. Matus. 2020a. Aerial Surveys of Red Knots (*Calidris canutus rufa*) in Tierra del Fuego, January 2020. Report (*English version*). 1-33 pp. Manomet Inc., Manomet, Massachusetts.

Niles, L., H. Sitters, A. Dey, A. Baker, R.I.G. Morrison, D. Hernández, K.E. Clark, B. Harrington, M. Peck, P. González, K. Bennett, P. Atkinson, N. Clark, and C. Minton. 2005. Status of the Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) in the Western Hemisphere. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish and Wildlife, Endangered and Nongame Species Program, Trenton, New Jersey.

Niles, L.J., H.P. Sitters, A.D. Dey, P.W. Atkinson, A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, R. Carmona, K.E. Clark, N.A. Clark, C. Espoz, P.M. González, B.A. Harrington, D.E. Hernández, K.S. Kalasz, R.G. Lathrop, R. Matus, C.D.T. Minton, R.I.G. Morrison, M. Peck, W. Pitts, R.A. Robinson, and I.L. Serrano. 2008. Status of the Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) in the Western Hemisphere. *Studies in Avian Biology* 36:xviii + 1-185.

Niles, L.J., H. Sitters, A. Dey, P.W. Atkinson, A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, K.E. Clark, N.A. Clark, C. Espoz, P.M. González, B.A. Harrington, D.E. Hernández, K.S. Kalasz, R. Matus, C.D.T. Minton, R.I.G. Morrison, M.K. Peck, and I.L. Serrano. 2010. Red Knot Conservation Plan for the Western Hemisphere (*Calidris canutus*), Version 1.1. Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences, Manomet, Massachusetts.

USFWS (United States Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014a. *Rufa* Red Knot Background Information and Threats Assessment. Supplement to Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final Threatened Status for the Rufa Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*). [Docket No. FWS-R5-ES-2013-0097; RIN AY17]. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Northeast Region, New Jersey Field Office, Pleasantville, New Jersey.

<sup>1</sup> A change in the classification of a species during reassessment by COSSARO may be for genuine or non-genuine reasons. Genuine reasons may include a reduction in threats to a species such that status of the species has improved, or the continuation of threats to the species such that the status of the species has further deteriorated. Non-genuine reasons may include new information on population size or threats that was not available during a previous assessment, the use of previous COSSARO criteria that may have yielded a different result or, taxonomic revisions that result in changes in range, population sizes or designatable units.

## Appendix 1: Technical summary for Ontario

Species: Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) rufa subspecies, Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population.

### Demographic information

Demographic attribute	Value
Generation time. Based on average age of breeding adult: age at first breeding = X year; average life span = Y years.	7 years
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in number of mature individuals?	Yes based on declines observed in winter surveys 2000–2020.
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within 5 years or 2 generations.	Estimated 35% decline over 2 generations (14 years: 2000-2020) based on winter surveys
Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected percent reduction or increase in total number of mature individuals over the last 10 years or 3 generations.	Estimated 73% reduction over 3 generations (21 years: 1999–2020) based on winter surveys
Projected or suspected percent reduction or increase in total number of mature individuals over the next 10 years or 3 generations.	Unknown
Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected percent reduction or increase in total number of mature individuals over any 10 years, or 3 generations, over a time period including both the past and the future.	Estimated 73% reduction over 3-generation period of 21 years based on winter surveys, likely continuing into future based on assessed high to very high overall threat impact
Are the causes of the decline (a) clearly reversible, and (b) understood, and (c) ceased?	a. Unknown b. Partially c. No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature individuals?	No

### Extent and occupancy information in Ontario

Extent and occupancy attributes	Value
Estimated extent of occurrence (EOO). <i>If value in COSEWIC status report is not applicable, then use <a href="http://geocat.kew.org">geocat.kew.org</a>. State source of estimate.</i>	Not calculated – present only for migration

<b>Extent and occupancy attributes</b>	<b>Value</b>
Index of area of occupancy (IAO). <i>If value in COSEWIC status report is not applicable, then use <a href="http://geocat.kew.org">geocat.kew.org</a>. State source of estimate.</i>	Not calculated – present only for migration
Is the total population severely fragmented? i.e., is >50% of its total area of occupancy is in habitat patches that are: (a) smaller than would be required to support a viable population, and (b) separated from other habitat patches by a distance larger than the species can be expected to disperse?	a. No b. No
Number of locations. <i>See Definitions and Abbreviations on COSEWIC and IUCN websites for more information on the term “location”. Use plausible range to reflect uncertainty if appropriate.</i>	Not calculated – present only for migration
Number of NHIC Element Occurrences <i>Request data from MNRF.</i>	Unknown – present only for migration
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in extent of occurrence?	Unknown
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in index of area of occupancy?	Unknown
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in number of sub-populations or EOs?	Unknown
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in number of locations?	Unknown
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing decline in [area, extent and/or quality] of habitat?	Possibly
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of populations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of occupancy?	No

Number of mature individuals in each sub-population or total population (if known)

<b>Sub-population (or total population)</b>	<b>Number of mature individuals</b>
Tierra del Fuego / Patagonia wintering population	Unknown, the population is present only as a migrant. Global population is estimated at 9,300. An estimated 25% of Red Knot rufa subspecies stopover in Ontario sites along southern James Bay

Quantitative analysis (population viability analysis conducted)

Probability of extinction in the wild is unknown

## Threats

A threats calculator completed by COSEWIC (*In Press*, 2020) found an overall threat impact of Very High–High. Threats were as follows:

- Residential & commercial development: low
  - o Housing & urban areas: low
  - o Commercial & industrial areas: low
  - o Tourism & recreation areas: low
- Agriculture & aquaculture: low
  - o Marine & freshwater aquaculture: low
- Human intrusions & disturbance: medium–low
  - o Recreational activities: medium–low
- Natural system modifications: high–low
  - o Dams & water management/use: low
  - o Other ecosystem modifications: high–low
- Invasive & other problematic species & genes: medium
  - o Problematic native species/diseases: medium
- Pollution: low
  - o Industrial & military effluents: low
- Climate change & severe weather: medium–low
  - o Habitat shifting & alteration: medium–low
  - o Storms & flooding: medium–low

## Rescue effect

<b>Rescue effect attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>
Does the broader biologically relevant geographic range for this species extend beyond Ontario?	Yes. The population breeds and winters outside of Ontario, and is present only as a migrant
Status of outside population(s) most likely to provide immigrants to Ontario	S1/S2
Is immigration of individuals and/or propagules between Ontario and outside populations known or possible?	Not applicable – other Red Knot populations (DUs) are considered distinct
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in Ontario?	Not applicable
Is there sufficient suitable habitat for immigrants in Ontario?	Not applicable
Are conditions deteriorating in Ontario?	Not applicable
Is the species of conservation concern in bordering jurisdictions?	Not applicable
Is the Ontario population considered to be a sink?	Not applicable

<b>Rescue effect attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>
Does the broader biologically relevant geographic range for this species extend beyond Ontario?	Yes. The population breeds and winters outside of Ontario, and is present only as a migrant
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	Not applicable

## Sensitive species

Not a sensitive species.

## Acronyms

COSEWIC: Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada  
COSSARO: Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario  
ESA: Endangered Species Act  
EO: Element occurrence (as defined by NHIC)  
EOO: extent of occurrence  
GRANK: global conservation status assessments  
IAO: index of area of occupancy  
IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources  
MNRF: Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry  
NHIC: Natural Heritage Information Centre  
NNR: Unranked  
NRANK: National conservation status assessment  
SARA: Species at Risk Act  
SNR: unranked  
SRANK: subnational conservation status assessment  
S1: Critically Imperiled  
S2: Imperiled  
S3: Vulnerable  
S4: Apparently Secure  
S5: Secure  
IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources  
CDSEPO: Le Comité de détermination du statut des espèces en péril en Ontario