Ontario Species at Risk Evaluation Report for

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove Gérardie fausse-pédiculaire (Aureolaria pedicularia)

Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario (COSSARO)

Assessed by COSSARO as Threatened

November 2020

Gérardie fausse-pédiculaire (Aureolaria pedicularia)

La gérardie fausse-pédiculaire est une grande herbacée vivace pouvant atteindre 1,5 m de hauteur. Les gérardies sont des hémiparasites qui peuvent absorber de l'eau et des nutriments en se fixant aux racines d'autres plantes, particulièrement celles de chênes (*Quercus spp*). Elles se rencontrent dans des habitats composés de forêts, de terres boisées et de savanes peuplées de chênes, dégagés à semi-dégagés et secs, situés en terrain élevé sur des sols bien drainés (COSEPAC, 2018). L'occupation est confirmée pour sept sous-populations potentiellement viables : complexe de la pointe Turkey, Norfolk; complexe Pinery, Lambton; vallée Hendrie, Halton; complexe Cootes Paradise, Hamilton; île du lac Sixteen Mile, Niagara; ruisseau Fifteen Mile, Niagara; bois du chemin Forced, Brant (COSEPAC, 2018).

D'après son évaluation, le CDSEPO classe la gérardie fausse-pédiculaire dans la catégorie des espèces menacées. Cela tient compte d'une zone d'occurrence réduite et d'un faible indice de zone d'occupation, selon les déductions, dans 6 à 10 emplacements. À cela s'ajoute la projection d'une diminution continue de l'étendue et d'une perte de qualité de l'habitat découlant d'une suppression par le feu, de la présence d'espèces indigènes problématiques et de celle d'espèces envahissantes. Cette évaluation concorde avec la classification fédérale de cette espèce par le COSEPAC (2018).

Cette publication hautement spécialisée «COSSARO Candidate Species at Risk Evaluation for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove» n'est disponible qu'en anglais conformément au Règlement 671/92, selon lequel il n'est pas obligatoire de la traduire en vertu de la Loi sur les services en français. Pour obtenir des renseignements en français, veuillez communiquer avec le ministère l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs au <u>cossarosecretariat@ontario.ca</u>.

Executive summary

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove (*Aureolaria pedicularlia*) is a tall, herbaceous perennial that can reach a height of 1.5m. This species are hemi-parasites where they secure some of their water and nutrients by tapping into the roots of other plants, particularly oak species (*Quercus spp*). They are found in dry, open to semi-open, upland oak forests, woodlands and savanna habitats on well-drained soils (COSEWIC, 2018). Occupancy was confirmed for six potentially viable subpopulations: Turkey Point Complex, Norfolk; Pinery Complex, Lambton; Hendrie Valley, Halton; Cootes Paradise Complex, Hamilton; Sixteen Mile Pond Island, Niagara; Fifteen Mile Creek, Niagara; and Forced Road Woods, Brant (COSWEIC, 2018).

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is classified by COSSARO as Threatened. This is considering an inferred low extent of occurrence and index of area of occupancy for 6-10 locations. There is also a projected continuing decline in extent and habitat quality resulting from fire suppression, problematic native species as well as invasive species. This classification is consistent with the federal classification of this species by COSEWIC (2018).

1. Eligibility for Ontario status assessment

1.1. Eligibility conditions

1.1.1.Taxonomic distinctness

Five infraspecific taxa of Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove have been identified historically (COSEWIC 2018). Three of these taxa have been verified as occurring in Ontario including: *A. pedicularia* var. *pedicularia*, *A. pedicularia* var. *intercedens*, *A. pedicularia* var. *ambigens*. However, it is important to note that other varieties could occur, as many specimens of *A. pedicularia* from Ontario have not been identified to variety.

1.1.2. Designatable units

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is considered to represent a single designatable unit throughout its Canadian range (COSEWIC, 2018).

1.1.3. Native status

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove has been reporting from 43 sites in Canada since 1859 (COSEWIC, 2018).

1.1.4. Occurrence

The current range of the Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is generally within the southern portion of the Great Lakes Plain Ecological Area and largely restricted to the Carolinian ecoregion (COSEWIC, 2018).

1.2. Eligibility results

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove (*Aureolaria pedicularia*) is eligible for status assessment in Ontario.

2. Background information

2.1. Current designations

- GRANK: G5 for A. pedicularia; T4 for A. pedicularia var. pediculara; T4 for A. pedicularia var. intercedens; and T3 for A. pedicularia var. ambigens (NatureServe 2020)
- o IUCN: na
- NRANK Canada: N2?
- o COSEWIC: Threatened (April, 2018)
- SARA: Not on Schedule 1
- ESA 2007: Not on ESA

• SRANK: S2? (2015)

2.2. Distribution in Ontario

There are 29 discrete sites corresponding to 25 known subpopulations for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove. Three subpopulations encompass multiple sites: Cootes Paradise, Pinery and Turkey Point complexes. In 2016, six viable subpopulations were confirmed: Turkey Point Complex, Norfolk; Pinery Complex, Lambton; Hendrie Valley, Halton; Cootes Paradise Complex, Hamilton; Sixteen Mile Pond Island, Niagara; Fifteen Mile Creek, Niagara; and Forced Road Woods, Brant (COSWEIC, 2018).

NHIC records 20 occurrences for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove with observation dates ranging between 1901 to 2016. Recent NHIC observations (2016) are at similar locations reported in the COSEWIC assessment report.

2.3. Distribution, status and the broader biologically relevant geographic range outside Ontario

The full range of Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove beyond southern Ontario covers most of the Eastern United States extending from Minnesota to Maine in the north and from Louisiana to Florida in the south. Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is considered globally secure (G5) and is not listed on the IUCN redlist.

Populations of Fern-leaved Yellow Foxglove from southern Ontario occupy North American Terrestrial Ecoregion 8.1, which extends into southern Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan, northern Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, New York, and Pennsylvania (North America Atlas, 2006).

The broader biologically relevant geographic range for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is limited as this species has no long-distance dispersal mechanism. Plants could disperse through natural processes along the Lake Ontario or Lake Erie shorelines or across the Niagara or Detroit systems. However, the probability of this occurring is extremely low given the limited available of potentially suitable habitat. Further, habitat availability, in particular oak forests, is in decline which also restricts the broader biological relevant geographic range (Rodewald, 2003).

Table 1. Condition of Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove in Adjacent Jurisdictions and Broader Biologically Relevant Geographic Range

Adjacent Jurisdictions	Biologically Relevant to Ontario (n/a, yes, no)	Condition	Notes & Sources
Quebec	n/a	n/a	n/a
Manitoba	n/a	n/a	n/a
Michigan	Yes	SNR	NatureServe
Minnesota	No	n/a	n/a

Adjacent Jurisdictions	Biologically Relevant to Ontario (n/a, yes, no)	Condition	Notes & Sources
Nunavut	No	n/a	n/a
New York	Yes	S4	NatureServe
Ohio	Yes	SNR	NatureServe
Pennsylvania	Yes	SNR	NatureServe
Wisconsin	No	n/a	n/a
Other Relevant Jurisdiction	n/a	n/a	n/a

2.4. Ontario conservation responsibility

Estimated to be less than one percent given the global range for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove. The percentage of the global population that exists in Ontario is unknown.

2.5. Direct threats

Species in the Yellow False Foxglove bundle face similar threats due to their association with open to semi-open oak ecosystems (COSEWIC, 2018). Oak ecosystems across eastern North America are in decline for a variety of reasons. Fire suppression and invasive species are threats to the persistence of Yellow False Foxgloves because they result in shading and competition from other species. There is moderate to severe damage to plants due to browsing by White-tailed Deer, which had been observed at most sites during the recent 2016 fieldwork.

A threats calculation was completed for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove as part of the COSEWIC (2018) report as follows:

- i) Fire suppression Medium Impact
- ii) Problematic native species Low Impact
- iii) Residential development Medium Impact
- iv) Invasive non-native species Medium to Low Impact
- v) Recreational activities Low Impact

Other threats include: possible expansion of formal trail networks, periodic defoliation and increased oak mortality due to European Gypsy Moth (*Lymantria dispar*), nectar robbing by honeybees, declines in native bumble bee populations, herbivory by leaf and seed-eating insects, and infrastructure maintenance on plants near existing roads and hydro corridors (COSEWIC, 2018). Climate change impacts are uncertain but increased drought and storm activity could be beneficial for these species by reducing competition and creating canopy gaps.

2.6. Specialized life history or habitat use characteristics

Yellow False Foxgloves are found in dry, open to semi-open, upland oak forests, woodlands and savanna habitats on well-drained soils including sand dunes, sand plains, clay ridges, slopes, stony loams on moraines and shallow soils over carbonate bedrock. All Yellow False Foxglove species are hemi-parasites where they secure some of their water and nutrients by tapping into the roots of other plants, particularly oak species (*Quercus spp*). This behavior provides them with a competitive advantage on drought-prone soils (COSEWIC, 2018). They are shade intolerant and are often found in specific topographic situations which result in increased light penetration, such as valley and escarpment rims, south or west facing slopes, near open water or on ridge backs. Other common habitat features include open understorey, sparse groundcover and exposed mineral soils. However, the various species differ somewhat in their specific habitat requirements (COSEWIC, 2018).

Mature plants flower over an extended period in mid-to-late summer with some plants still flowering in late fall. Each day, two flowers open on each stalk. The complete flowers (sepals, petals, stamens and pistils present) are insect-pollinated by a variety of native bees and Lepidoptera. Seed capsules contain 300 to 500 seeds and each plant is capable of producing numerous seed capsules. Bare soil is an important factor in seed germination (COSEWIC, 2018).

Smooth Yellow False Foxglove and Fern-leaved Yellow False foxglove are the sole larval food source for False-foxglove Sun Moth (COSEWIC, 2018).

Mature plants of Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove consist of a single, densely branching stem 1.5 m in height. The stem, leaves and pedicels are hairy and sticky. The leaves are short (to ~7cm long) and the leaf stalks, if any are very short (3mm or less). The leaves of this species are finely divided (fern-like). The flower stalks are somewhat longer (25mm), seed capsules are shorter (~10mm) and seed lengths are smaller (to 1mm). Immature plants form a rosette of basal leaves.

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove occurs more in open savanna and woodland habitats than the other two species. The majority of the subpopulations are located on dry sandy soil, with some on hard red clay, shallow sand or clay soils over limestone and gravel outwash deposits. The distribution of plants within patches seems to be associated with presence of exposed soil and presence of few invasive plants. Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove is associated with Black Oak and potentially Northern Pin Oak (*Quercus ellipsoides*) (COSEWIC, 2018).

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove starts flowering between early August and late September and is self-compatible. The rosettes of this species go dormant over winter and bolt in the spring.

3. Ontario status assessment

3.1. Application of endangered/threatened status in Ontario

3.1.1. Criterion A – Decline in total number of mature individuals

Not applicable. While population declines are suspected, abundance information is insufficient to calculate a percent decline of mature individuals (COSEWIC, 2018).

3.1.2. Criterion B – Small distribution range and decline or fluctuation

Meets Threatened B1 ab(i)(ii)(iii)(iv). The EOO is 6,825km², the AOO is 36km², there are 6-10 locations, an inferred EOO decrease, an inferred AOO decrease, an inferred decline of habitat quality based on a number of threats and an inferred decrease in the number of locations.

3.1.3. Criterion C – Small and declining number of mature individuals

Not applicable. The total number of individuals is estimated to be between 7,602-11,850, and subpopulations contain 3,000-5,000, 3,500-5,000, 900-1,500, 150-250, 42-50 and 10-50 individuals.

3.1.4. Criterion D – Very small or restricted total population

Does not apply.

3.1.5. Criterion E – Quantitative analysis

A quantitative analysis has not been completed.

3.2. Application of Special Concern in Ontario

Not applicable.

3.3. Status category modifiers

3.3.1. Ontario's conservation responsibility

Not applicable.

3.3.2. Status modification based on rescue effect or level of risk in broader biologically relevant geographic range

Rescue effect not applicable due to very low probability of individual immigration and that rescue effect is unlikely from outside populations.

Broader biologically relevant geographic range not applicable due to species status not ranked in other jurisdictions except for one where it appears to be secure (NatureServe, 2020). Also, habitat availability, in particular oak forests, is in decline which further restricts the broader biological relevant geographic range (Rodewald, 2003).

3.4. Other status categories

3.4.1. Data deficient

Not applicable.

3.4.2. Extinct or extirpated

Not applicable.

3.4.3. Not at risk

Not applicable.

4. Summary of Ontario status

Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove (*Aureolaria pedicularia*) is classified as Threatened in Ontario based on meeting criterion B1ab(i)(ii)(ii)(ii)).

5. Information sources

COSEWIC. 2018. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Yellow False Foxglove Bundle, Smooth Yellow False Foxglove *Aureolaria flava*, Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove *Aureolaria pedicularia* and the Downy Yellow False Foxglove *Auerolaria virginica*, in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. xx+100pp. (<u>https://wildlife-species.canada.ca/species-risk-registry/virtual_sara/files/cosewic/srYellowFalseFoxglove2018e.pdf</u>)

NatureServe. 2020. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Website: http://explorer.natureserve.org [accessed October 2020].

Natural Heritage Information Centre. 2020: An online database of species observations for Ontario

North American Atlas. 2006. Ecological Regions of North America: Level I-III. In partnership with: cec.org; atlas.gc.ca; nationalatlas.gove; <u>www.inegi.gov.mx</u>. Website: <u>https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/ecoregions-north-america</u>

Rodewald, A.D. 2003. Decline of Oak Forests and Implications for Forest Wildlife Conservation. Natural Areas Journal 23(4): 368-371

Young, S. 2019. New York Rare Plant Status Lists. https://www.dec.ny.gov/docs/wildlife_pdf/2019rareplantlists.pdf

Appendix 1: Technical summary for Ontario

Species: Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove (Aureolaria pedicularia)

Demographic information

Demographic attribute	Value
Generation time.	1-3 years
Based on average age of breeding adult: age at first	
breeding = X year; average life span = Y years.	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Unknown based on
decline in number of mature individuals?	insufficient and
	inconsistent data.
Estimated percent of continuing decline in total number of mature individuals within 5 years or 2 generations.	Unknown
Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected percent	Unknown
reduction or increase in total number of mature	
individuals over the last 10 years or 3 generations.	
Projected or suspected percent reduction or increase in	Unkonwn
total number of mature individuals over the next 10	
years or 3 generations.	
Observed, estimated, inferred, or suspected percent	Unknown
reduction or increase in total number of mature	
individuals over any 10 years, or 3 generations, over a	
time period including both the past and the future.	
Are the causes of the decline	a. Yes
(a) clearly reversible, and	b. Yes
(b) understood, and	c. No
(c) ceased?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of mature	Unknown (unlikely)
individuals?	

Extent and occupancy information in Ontario

Extent and occupancy attributes	Value
Estimated extent of occurrence (EOO).	6,825 km ²
If value in COSEWIC status report is not applicable,	
then use geocat.kew.org. State source of estimate.	
Index of area of occupancy (IAO).	36 km ²
If value in COSEWIC status report is not applicable,	
then use geocat.kew.org. State source of estimate.	
Is the total population severely fragmented?	a. Unknown
i.e., is >50% of its total area of occupancy is in habitat	b. Yes
patches that are:	

Extent and occupancy attributes	Value
(a) smaller than would be required to support a viable	
population, and	
(b) separated from other habitat patches by a distance	
larger than the species can be expected to disperse?	
Number of locations.	6-10 locations
See Definitions and Abbreviations on COSEWIC and	
IUCN websites for more information on the term	
<i>"location". Use plausible range to reflect uncertainty if</i>	
appropriate.	
Number of NHIC Element Occurrences	20
Request data from MNRF.	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Yes
decline in extent of occurrence?	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Yes
decline in index of area of occupancy?	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Yes
decline in number of sub-populations or EOs?	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Yes
decline in number of locations?	
Is there an observed, inferred, or projected continuing	Yes
decline in [area, extent and/or quality] of habitat?	
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of	Unknown
populations?	
Are there extreme fluctuations in number of locations?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in extent of occurrence?	No
Are there extreme fluctuations in index of area of	No
occupancy?	

Number of mature individuals in each sub-population or total population (if known)

Sub-population (or total population)	Number of mature individuals
Turkey Point, Norfolk	3,000-3,500
Pinery Complex,Lambton	3,000-5,000
Hendrie Valley, Halton	900-1,500
Cootes Paradise South Shore,	150-250
Hamilton	
Sixteen Mile Pond Island, Niagara	42 -50
Fifteen Mile Creek, Niagara	10-50

Quantitative analysis (population viability analysis conducted)

No population viability analysis has been conducted.

Threats

A threats calculation was completed for Fern-leaved Yellow False Foxglove as part of the COSEWIC (2018) report as follows:

- i) Fire suppression Medium Impact
- ii) Problematic native species Low Impact
- iii) Residential development Medium Impact
- iv) Invasive non-native species Medium to Low Impact
- v) Recreational activities Low Impact

Rescue effect

Rescue effect attribute	Value	
Does the broader biologically relevant	Possibly	
geographic range for this species extend		
beyond Ontario?		
Status of outside population(s) most likely to	Michigan SNR, Ohio SNR,	
provide immigrants to Ontario	Pennsylvania SNR, New York S4	
Is immigration of individuals and/or propagules	No	
between Ontario and outside populations		
known or possible?		
Would immigrants be adapted to survive in	Yes	
Ontario?		
Is there sufficient suitable habitat for	Possibly	
immigrants in Ontario?		
Are conditions deteriorating in Ontario?	Yes	
Is the species of conservation concern in	No	
bordering jurisdictions?		
Is the Ontario population considered to be a	No	
sink?		
Is rescue from outside populations likely?	No	

Sensitive species

Not a data sensitive species group.

Acronyms

COSEWIC: Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada COSSARO: Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario **ESA: Endangered Species Act** EO: Element occurrence (as defined by NHIC) EOO: extent of occurrence GRANK: global conservation status assessments IAO: index of area of occupancy IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources MNRF: Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry NHIC: Natural Heritage Information Centre NNR: Unranked NRANK: National conservation status assessment SARA: Species at Risk Act SNR: unranked SRANK: subnational conservation status assessment S1: Critically Imperiled S2: Imperiled S3: Vulnerable S4: Apparently Secure S5: Secure

IUCN: International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources CDSEPO: Le Comité de détermination du statut des espèces en péril en Ontario